

ARTICLE 11.07 FILED AND SET ISSUES

**NO ARTICLE 11.07 APPLICATIONS WERE FILED AND SET FOR
SUBMISSION ON THE WEEK OF FEBRUARY 27, 2019**

ALPHABETICAL LISTING WITHOUT ISSUES

<u>WRIT NO.</u>	<u>NAME</u>	<u>DATE FILED AND SET</u>
WR-44,581-02	AGNEW, NICKY CHARUNE	09/12/2018
WR-86,111-01	CHAMBERLAIN, MICHAEL A.	09/27/2017
WR-87,660-01	LALONDE, KRISTOPHER J.	03/21/2018
WR-85,833-01	MCGREGOR, EDWARD G.	11/22/2017
WR-84,786-01/-02	POINTER, JEFFREY SHAWN	10/10/2018
WR-87,190-02	SAUCEDO, ANDREW M.	05/02/2018
WR-89,128-01	THOMAS, STEVEN	01/30/2019

NUMERICAL LISTING WITH FILED AND SET ISSUES

WR-44,581-02

AGNEW, NICKY CHARUNE

09/12/2018

Whether appellate counsel was ineffective for not properly arguing that the trial court erred in denying Applicant's motion to suppress.

WR-84,786-01/-02

POINTER, JEFFREY SHAWN

10/10/2018

Whether *Ex parte Pue*, ___ S.W.3d ___ (Tex. Crim. App. Feb. 28, 2018), announced a new rule for purposes of retroactivity; (2) if *Pue* announced a new rule, whether it is a substantive or procedural rule; and (3) whether one of the exceptions to the general rule of nonretroactivity applies.

WR-85,833-01

MCGREGOR, EDWARD GEORGE

11/22/2017

Whether the State violated *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83 (1963), and failed to disclose favorable evidence material to guilt or punishment.

WR-86,111-01

CHAMBERLAIN, MICHAEL A.

09/27/2017

Whether Applicant is entitled to relief when he was led to believe the plea agreement was for a third degree felony but he was orally admonished by the trial court that the punishment range was for a second degree felony.

WR-87,190-02

SAUCEDO, ANDREW MELCHOR

05/02/2018

Whether Applicant's plea was involuntary when he was charged with and pleaded guilty to possession of a controlled substance in Penalty Group 1 but in fact possessed a controlled substance in Penalty Group 2.

WR-87,660-01

LALONDE, KRISTOPHER JOSEPH

03/21/2018

Whether the State violated Applicant's due process rights by not disclosing that a witness committed aggravated perjury and whether Applicant's conviction should be set aside given the witness's perjury in Applicant's case and other cases.

WR-89,128-01

THOMAS, STEVEN

01/30/2019

Whether a claim based on *Moon v. State*, 451 S.W.3d 28 (Tex. Crim. App. 2014), is cognizable in an application for a writ of habeas corpus and whether *Moon* should apply retroactively on collateral review.